AN EVALUATION OF A CURRICULUM BASED ON PUBLIC SCHOOL PARAEDUCATOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDED STANDARDS

A Dissertation

by

PATRICIA FISK-MOODY

Submitted to the Graduate School

Appalachian State University

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF EDUCATION

August, 2003

Doctoral Program in Educational Leadership

Reich College of Education

AN EVALUATION OF A CURRICULUM BASED ON PUBLIC SCHOOL

PARAEDUCATOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDED

STANDARDS

PATRICIA FISK-MOODY

August, 2003

L. Ann Margach E. Jane Nowacek, Ph.D.
E. Jane Nowacek, Ph.D.
Chairperson, Dissertation Committee
11 11.6
Lengel Mayrock
George A. Maycock, Ph.D.
Member, Dissertation Committee
alege Moulow
Alice P. Naylor, Ph.D.
Member, Dissertation Committee
Bane R. Smith
Paul R. Smith, Ed.D.
Member, Dissertation Committee
Margaret West
Margaret G. Werts, Ph.D.
Member Dissertation Committee

Alice P. Naylor, Ph/D.
Director of Doctoral Program

Dean, Graduate Studies and Research

Judith E. Domer, Ph.D.

Approved by:

ABSTRACT

AN EVALUATION OF A CURRICULUM BASED ON PUBLIC SCHOOL
PARAEDUCATOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDED
STANDARDS. (August, 2003)

Patricia Fisk-Moody, B. A. Ambassador University

M.A. Appalachian State University

Dissertation Advisor: Dr. E. Jane Nowacek

Twenty paraeducators from four rural counties in western North Carolina enrolled in an eight-week course taught at a local community college. These paraeducators volunteered to participate in this study that proposed to evaluate a curriculum based on paraeducator needs assessment and recommended standards. Three questions were addressed in the study: (a) What are the educational needs of paraeducators who work in public schools, as identified by the paraeducators and supervising general education teachers? (b) What are the similarities and differences in educational needs as identified by paraeducators and those specified by recommended standards? and (c) What effect does a curriculum based on needs assessment and recommended standards have on self-efficacy for the paraeducators? Data showed several statistically significant differences in identified

needs of the paraeducators and their supervising teachers especially in the organizational skills content of the curriculum. Independent t-tests indicated agreement between paraeducator identified needs and those recommended by professional standards. Both quantitative and qualitative data showed positive effects of the curriculum on paraeducator perceptions of self-efficacy.